

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/928,466	SEN ET AL.
	Examiner Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	Art Unit 1615

**All Participants:**

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

**Status of Application:** under non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Janet I. Cord.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 March 2005

**Time:** 9.30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, 42, 46 and 51

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed attorney that instant claims are allowable, however claims 4 raises a 35 USC 112 rejection based on lack of antecedent basis and claims 42, 46 and 51 have minor typographical errors. Attorney authorized examiner to cancel claim 4 and correct the typographical errors by examiner's amendment.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "T. C. P. S." or a similar variation, is positioned in the lower right area of the page.